

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/877,259	09/877,259 06/11/2001		Michael Schmidt	MERCK-2272	8004	
23599	7590	02/10/2003				
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.				EXAMINER		
2200 CLAR SUITE 1400		BLVD.	WEINER, LAURA S			
ARLINGTO	N, VA 2	2201		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				1745		
				DATE MAILED: 02/10/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Alicent(a)					
		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/877,259	SCHMIDT ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAN INC DATE of this communication on a	Laura S Weiner	1745					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ess				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 J	<u>une 2001</u> .						
<u> </u>	s action is non-final.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.	•						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-11 are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accep							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	, ,					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		ved by the Examiner					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		•					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	•						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No					
 Copies of the certified copies of the prioring application from the International Bur ★ See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prioring action. 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		age				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional a	pplication).				
a) The translation of the foreign language prov 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-					
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 09/877,259

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-7, drawn to an ionic liquid, classified in class 252, subclass 62.2.
 - II. Claims 8, 10-11, drawn to an electrochemical cell and an electrolyte composition, classified in class 429, subclass 188.
- 2. III. Claim 9, drawn to a capacitor, classified in class 361, subclass 503.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II, III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as in an electrolytic cell and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the

Application/Control Number: 09/877,259 Page 3

Art Unit: 1745

inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together and have different modes of operations, different functions and different effects such that Invention II, is a battery cell which is a device used for generating an electric current by chemical reaction versus Invention III, a capacitor which is used to store a charge temporarily.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 6. Claims 1-7 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising each species of a cation within the enabled disclosure or claims, and from which group of compositions applicant(s) are required to elect a single species of composition. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Art Unit: 1745

The requirement may be met by applicant(s) electing an ultimate compound species of the cation, K+ from the enabled disclosure.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement to be complete must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election. 37 CFR-1.143.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura Weiner whose telephone number is (703) 308-4396. The examiner works a flexible schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan, can be reached at (703) 308-2383. The fax phone number for non-after finals is 703-872-9310 and the fax phone number for after-finals is 703-872-9311.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Laura S. Weiner

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1745

February 6, 2003